UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

(List the full name(s) of the pla	aintiff(s)/petitioner(s).)	1:17	7_CV_00821(JPO_)()
Ioldings Corp. (Nominal Defendestments LLC; John R. Burch; Pell and Susan Atwell (as trustees and Sharon Souza (as trustees oin (as trustee for Robert Rubin Foll (individually, and as trustee for (List the full name(s) of the de	erceval Investment Partners, for Susan L. Atwell Revocab for The Souza Family Trust); Revocable Trust); and or Megan B. Coll Grantor Tru	L.P.; Eva Wiezorek; le Trust);	TICE OF APPEAL antor Trust) (Defendants).
Notice is hereby given	that the following part	ties: Aaron Rubenstein	(Plaintiff)
(list the names of all parties w	ho are filing an appeal)		
in the above-named cas	se appeal to the United	States Court of Appe	eals for the Second Circuit
from the Disaless		March 27	2010
from the	ent 🛮 order ente	ered on: March 27	
<i>3</i> C		(date that jud	gment or order was entered on docket)
that: granted Defendants' mo Defendants, Fairholme	otion to dismiss on the basis is selients, as defined under So	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purpose:	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group men
that: granted Defendants' mo Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti	otion to dismiss on the basis s's clients, as defined under So es Exchange Act of 1934—no	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group men closure (and Defendants' actual knowledge)
that: granted Defendants' mo Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019 Dated	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019 Dated	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' monopered Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019 Dated Tauber, Miriam, D	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the basis of the control of the basis of the bas	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a no	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group menclosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019 Dated Tauber, Miriam, D Name (Last, First, MI)	otion to dismiss on the basis of selients, as defined under So es Exchange Act of 1934—notilf of the Defendants by Fairh, provide a brief description a	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a non bove of the decision in the o	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with s of determining the "insider" status of group mentelosure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) in-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear order.)
that: granted Defendants' me Defendants, Fairholme under Section 16 of the Securiti accounts were invested, on beha (If the appeal is from an order, 03/28/2019 Dated Tauber, Miriam, D Name (Last, First, MI) 885 Park Ave 2A	otion to dismiss on the basis of the control of the Defendants by Fairh provide a brief description a	(date that jud that Plaintiff insufficiently al ection 13(d) and for purposes twithstanding Fairholme's dis olme and Berkowitz as a non bove of the decision in the o Signature NY	gment or order was entered on docket) leged that Fairholme formed a "group" with sof determining the "insider" status of group ment closure (and Defendants' actual knowledge) n-exempt investment advisor and controlling Sear order.) 10075 Zip Code

Rev. 12/23/13

^{*}Each party filing the appeal must date and sign the Notice of Appeal and provide his or her mailing address and telephone number, EXCEPT that a signer of a pro se notice of appeal may sign for his or her spouse and minor children if they are parties to the case. Fed. R. App. P. 3(c)(2). Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.